

Nurgul Dzhanaeva

Forum of women's NGOs of Kyrgyzstan

Presentation of the cases, vision, ideas and actions regarding mapping modalities for MGoS participation **for the Panel discussion on mapping of modalities for MGoS participation in national and thematic reviews at the HLPF, in accordance with resolution 67/290**

Some important questions for discussion

- Cases of modalities at regional, national and thematic levels
- MGoS and 22 voluntary reporting countries
- Thematic
- Goals and forms of participation

Some important questions for discussion

- For future mapping a road to adequate modalities: basic elements: modalities in general review participation, in MOI review

Some important questions for discussion

- Mapping modalities of MGoS participation from perspective how to set up or strengthen, where to apply, who leads, institutionalization of MGoS participation through forming bodies (RCEM), processes (UPR), timeliness, etc.

Mapping modalities, Cases of modalities at regional, national and thematic levels

-
- **1. Regional experience – RCEM.** Regional CSO engagement mechanism is a working modality that can be used as an example.

Mapping modalities, Cases of modalities at regional, national and thematic levels

- *“APRCEM is a civil society platform aimed to enable stronger cross constituency coordination and ensure that voices of all sub-regions of Asia Pacific are heard in intergovernmental processes in regional and global level. The platform is initiated, owned and driven by the CSOs, and has been set up under the auspices of UN-ESCAP and seeks to engage with UN agencies and Member States on the Post-2015 as well as other development related issues/processes. As an open, inclusive, and flexible mechanism, RCEM is designed to reach the broadest number of CSOs in the region, harness the voice of grassroots and peoples’ movements to advance development justice that address the inequalities of wealth, power, resources between countries, between rich and poor and between men and women.”*— detailed information at [567](#)

Mapping modalities, Cases of modalities at regional, national and thematic levels

- 2. National level
- – Kyrgyzstan: coalition on aid and development effectiveness on institutionalization of CSOs engagement in review and follow up processes, concept note is available
-

Mapping modalities, Cases of modalities at regional, national and thematic levels

- 3. Combination of national, international, thematic, UN led review processes on human rights, UPR, etc.
-
- 4. MGoS and 22 voluntary reporting countries
-
- 5. Thematic – Existing **modalities of MGoS** participation **in** reviews of implementation of commitments on women's rights, etc. Case of Women's Major group –

Part III

Goals and Form

- – shadow reporting, space for verification, participation in designing of the implementation and review
- It is formulated that MGoS will (Res 67/290, para15) be allowed:
 - (a) To attend all official meetings of the forum;
 - (b) To have access to all official information and documents;
 - (c) To intervene in official meetings;
 - (d) To submit documents and present written and oral contributions;
 - (e) To make recommendations;
 - (f) To organize side events and round tables, in cooperation with Member States and the Secretariat
-
- It is important to provide formulation of goals – to represent interests and voices, to verify data, to provide recommendations, ????
-

Part III

Goals and Form

Challenges for adequate modalities for MGoS:

- official recognition,
- capacity,
- financial means
- Outreach
-